As is commonly recognized, evangelical Christians have not traditionally given environmentalism a prominent place on their moral agenda. Numerous reasons for this can be identified. One is that many evangelicals are Americans and Americans in general are only reluctantly recognizing the importance of the environmental crisis. A second reason is that environmentalism has often been associated with the liberal political agenda and with mainline Christians who do not generally identify with the religious and moral interests that chiefly occupy evangelicals. Since evangelicals predominantly are political and moral conservatives, they have looked with considerable suspicion on environmental activists. As explanatory as the first two reasons may be, I would like to highlight a third and far more pivotal obstacle. This is the fact that evangelicals are predominantly committed to an eschatology that makes it religiously unnecessary and logically impossible to engage in the long-range commitments to the environment required by a truly serious attitude of ecological stewardship.

An Eschatology of Despair

Evangelicals, and even more so fundamentalists, (who will not be singled out for special consideration), have generally embraced an eschatology that is fundamentally pessimistic regarding the creation and human history. For them, the creation as we know it figures discontinuously rather than continuously in God's plans for consummating history and the Kingdom of God. Uniformly within classical and revised dispensationalism, and the popular apocalypticism such as that which Hal Linsey represents, there is the belief that at the end of the Millennium, after evil's final revolt against God, the creation as we know it will be destroyed by God, and will be replaced by a new heaven and a new Earth absolutely free of sin and evil influences.

The eschatology that currently dominates evangelicalism is known as premillennialism. It is often flavored by the dispensationalism of John Nelson Darby and his successors (e.g., C.I. Scofield, Lewis Sperry Chafer, Charles Ryrie, etc.). (See note 5 for definitions of premillennialism and dispensationalism.) Major changes in dispensationalism have occurred in the past forty years. Most recently a group of scholars identified as progressive dispensationalists has emerged. By and large, they do not believe that destruction of the creation by God is a part of the divine drama. They hold instead that the whole of God's redemptive plan will be completed in a manner that is continuous with this present creation. David L. Turner, for example, says that "the new creation will be none other than the old Adamic universe gloriously liberated from its cacophonous groan to a harmonious song of praise to the One who sits on the throne." The time may come when this form of dispensationalism will replace the current discontinuous and pessimistic eschatology. However, the progressive dispensationalists are making it more and more difficult to retain "dispensationalism" as a meaningful term.

But it is the older forms of dispensationalism (classical and revised), not progressive dispensationalism, that underwrite the eschatology of most of present-day evangelicalism. In many instances, a fairly consistent dispensationalist schema is embraced. In many other instances the eschatology of evangelicals is a popular apocalypticism that borrows from dispensationalism without attempting to be consistent with it. Whatever the form, according to the prevailing premillennialist eschatology, this present world is but the temporary stage on which the divine drama is unfolding. No lasting covenant between God and the creation exists. Although the creation is not viewed as inherently evil, the final destruction of evil entails destruction of the world. In the end, despair, not hope, elimination, not redemption, is this world's truth. You can search the primary and secondary literature in vain for any reason or encouragement to take this world as seriously as a sustained and systematic environmental ethic requires.

So long as evangelicals hold to an eschatology that understands the world to exist under a divinely imposed death sentence, we should expect no major change in their disposition toward the environment or the environmental movement. They will continue to interpret environmental problems as among the first fruits of an imminent expression of divine wrath against "the late, great planet Earth." Invitations to participate in sustained efforts at solving environmental problems will be thought of as futile at best, and as defying God's will at worst.

Evangelicals Have Spoken

There are, of course, many commendable instances of evangelical participation and leadership in efforts to address the environmental crisis. Many consider the publication of Rachel Carson's *Silent Spring* in 1962 to be the beginning of the environmental movement in the western world. Joseph K. Sheldon notes that, although the Christian response to the environmental crisis built slowly, in the same year...
A Tenacious Obstacle

As noteworthy as recent signs in evangelicalism might be, they do not represent most evangelicals. "The environmental movement," says Loren Wilkinson, "divides and confuses Christians, keeping us at arms length from a crucial arena of social engagement." Lying beneath the failure by evangelicals on a broad scale to apply their moral, economic and political energy to the environmental crisis, there is a deep-seated despair about the future of the creation as we know it, a despair which many evangelicals have come to perceive as essential to the Christian faith. This despair arises from theological convictions that are incompatible with a sustained concern for the environment. They also militate against the Bible's call to stewardship. Canadian theologian Douglas John Hall observes that Christians have contributed to the "manifold crisis of our planetary environment" just as surely through what they believe as through what they have done.

It is surprising to many that the current domination of evangelicalism by premillennialist eschatology and popular apocalypticism has not always been the case. In the United States prior to the Civil War, evangelicals led in efforts at social reform, including the abolition of slavery and the temperance movement.

But by the end of the Civil War evangelicals had begun to give up the idea that they should seek to change the world. Evangelicalism began to adopt a discontinuous eschatology characterized by historical pessimism and a "corrosive fatalism." And so began the "Babylonian captivity" of American evangelicalism, a captivity which has not yet ended.

According to church historian Richard Lovelace, the premillennialism of John Nelson Darby probably came to dominance through the influence of D. L. Moody, who abandoned the post-millennial optimism of the latter 19th century American evangelical stream, and embraced dispensationalism, and with it, pessimism regarding this world. Lovelace and others who recognize the impact of dispensationalist premillennialism on evangelicalism also recognize that its influence is not monolithic; not all American evangelicals adopted the dispensationalist valuation of the Earth. Nevertheless, it is hard to deny, Lovelace maintains, that the domination of evangelicalism by dispensationalist premillennialism "often dampens zeal for reform and revival, especially in the area of social renewal." As a corollary, evangelicals have at a primordial level come to view redemption as salvation out of a doomed creation. Belief that the redemptive work of the Second Adam finally excludes this present world seems not to raise any major doctrinal problems for most evangelicals. Usually in dispensationalism, God's sentence of death on the creation is followed by hope for a new creation, but (with the exception of progressive dispensationalism) only after God has destroyed the present creation as we know it. Usually in dispensationalist, God's sentence of death on the creation is followed by hope for a new creation, but (with the exception of progressive dispensationalism) only after God has destroyed the present creation as we know it.

Despair regarding this creation ranges from the more serious works of dispensationalists such as C.I. Scofield, Lewis Sperry Chafer, John F. Walvoord and J. Dwight Pentecost, the almost celebritative pessimism of Hal Lindsey, David Wilkerson and Jerry Falwell, to the strange mixture of environmentalism and apocalyptic eschatology found in William B. Radke. Radke on the one hand urges Christians to look forward with expectancy "to the day when we will leave this planet and be transported to a far more glorious heavenly existence." On the other hand he believes that the Bible mandates systematic care for the creation. God intends that Christians be committed to "solving the plight of the Earth," in spite of the fact that one day God will "uncreate all that he has made."

These two extremes show how wide-ranging the premillennial and dispensational postures toward the world can be. Nevertheless, both positions finally immobilize Christians with regard to the plight of the Earth and its environment. Radke's protest to the contrary notwithstanding, it is logically impossible and morally contradictory both to embrace this creation as inviolable and at the same time reject it as hopelessly doomed and excluded from God's future. Even the temporary environmentalism called for by Radke makes impossible the long-range plans and commitments which adequate care for the creation demands. Until evangelicals purge from their vision of the Christian faith the wine of pessimistic dispensationalist premillennialism, the Judeo-Christian doctrine of creation and the biblical image of stewardship will be orphans in their midst. These doctrines will be unable to yield their rich potential for environmental ethics.

What I have referred to as the "Babylonian captivity" of evangelicalism cannot end until a compelling alternative is identified and
embraced. Such an alternative will have to embody evangelical convictions regarding the sovereign lordship of Jesus Christ, the authority of the scriptures and the Christian hope for the consummation of the Kingdom of God which was inaugurated in the first advent of Christ. Evangelicals will have to be shown from the scriptures that it is essential to the Christian hope that there are compelling Christian reasons for "the Earth to rejoice." 33

Reconciling Eschatology and Ecology

Currently in evangelicalism a movement is underway to provide just such an alternative. Evangelical theologians are recovering themes that celebrate the gospel as good news for all creation rather than as a salvaged escape from a creation under capital sentence. 34 An increasing number of theologians who proceed from identifiable evangelical convictions are offering a vision of redemption that transcends the premillennialist and dispensationalist paradigm. Let us look at some of the new departures now being taken.

The ax has been most directly laid at the root of discontinuous eschatology by Robert Jewett. 35 Jewett uses seven key sayings of Jesus to show convincingly that the strongest New Testament opponent of apocalypticism was Jesus himself. Jesus steadfastly opposed the end-of-time apocalyptists of his day by repeatedly showing the folly of apocalyptic solutions. Those who embraced apocalypticism failed both to comprehend and apprehend the kingdom of God. He observed the monotonous collapse of apocalyptic schemes after they had brought disaster to those who supported them. Jewett warns that "...sincere believers continue to be led to reject the essential message of the Lord they profess to serve by chasing apocalyptic schemes Jesus himself rejected." 36 Jewett calls attention to the contradiction between the violence and vengeance associated with apocalypticism, and the peaceable kingdom Jesus inaugurated. Jesus separated "the nationalistic idea of revenge from the hope of redemption." 37 The Son of God broke the cycle of violence. He disappointed those who loved vengeance and who hoped that the kingdom of God would "pass the blow on." 38
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William Dyrness has, like Jewett, gone to the scriptures, especially the New Testament, to find a way out of the dispensationalist wasteland. With eyes and ears tuned to learn the role of this creation in God's future, Dyrness concludes that the Earth ó this creation ó has good reason to rejoice. He rejects apocalyptic eschatology because, according to the scriptures, the creation "always remains open to [God]." 39

A disruption of the present creation in order to establish God's righteous rule on Earth is utterly unnecessary. Whatever the consummation of the kingdom proves to be, it will grow out of the current creation God has called good. Such an affirmation, Dyrness believes, is consistent with the New Testament's promise that a complete and final judgement of sin and human history will occur. But the destruction associated with the kingdom's consummation is a destruction of sin and Satan'óthose things that threaten creation'ónot a destruction of the creation itself. 40 The consummation of God's kingdom, Dyrness maintains, will be a "sublime showcase" of the creation's goodness which has never been renounced by God. 41 He agrees with G. R. Beasley-Murray, who maintains that not a line of Revelation's description of the city of God is incapable of realization within history, even though its perfect expression requires the transcendent order, as John makes plain. 42

One of the most compelling attempts to join the meaning of Christian mission and stewardship with care for the creation is being made by theologian Douglas John Hall. For those who will listen, he traces a dependable path out of the dispensationalist wilderness. Hall urges us to realize that "unless the fate of the world does matter to Christians, and in a fundamental way, it is futile to expect [them] to occupy themselves over much with the understanding, nurture, and preservation of non-human species and of the Earth itself." 43 God's love and the gospel to which it gives birth

"is applicable in a very concrete sense, and with all of its intensity and mystery to Earth. Even ... the Apocalypse of St. John makes the renewing of [the] Earth the object of ... divine ... love .... Judgement is for ... cleansing ... the world, not its demolition." 44

Hall argues forcefully that Christians cannot turn away from this world without turning away from something essential to the gospel. He says that one of the most urgent tasks confronting the church is to learn how to present the gospel and Christian life in this-worldly terms while still remaining faithful to the core of the Christian tradition. 45 True, with regard to the future of the creation there is an ambiguity in the New Testament. The apostle Paul can be read in a manner that leaves us with either an "ecological" interpretation of the gospel (esp. Rom. 8: 22-23), or as providing warrant for an other-world spirituality. The latter reading seems to rule out Paul's vision of Christians as standing in solidarity with the whole creation. Hall thinks that the ambiguity serves to warn us that Christian faith is more than worldliness, and it warns us to "maintain a dialectical attitude to the world." 46

The warning having been registered, Christians should also realize that the church is at a decisive crossroads in the Christian pilgrimage through history. Either we shall choose to embrace the life of this world, or we shall have chosen, "or been chosen by," death. 47 The discontinuous eschatology that dominates evangelicalism teaches otherwise; it says that we can renounce the world and still choose life. But Hall believes that the gospel of Christ properly understood compels us to disagree, to recognize that a rudimentary indicative concerning this world is included in the gospel, viz., that the world "is greatly loved and that its mending is an immediate and vital dimension of the whole work of God." 48

The final representative voice now calling for a rescue of evangelicalism from pessimistic eschatology is more influential among evangelical scholars than the others we have examined. In Tracking the Maze, Clark Pinnock, another Canadian, outlines the changes in thought that have emerged from his reexamination of essential evangelical commitments, and condemns the fruitless conflicts that
Theologians and scientists who are evangelicals should join hands to help lead evangelical Christianity out of its bondage to an errant eschatology. Dispensationalist premillennialism defrauds the creation of the gospel's promise that it too "will be delivered from the bondage of corruption into the glorious liberty of the glory of the Children of God" (Rom. 8:21, NRSV). It also cripples the witness of evangelical faith in the world.

Both scientists and theologians have access to resources unique to our respective realms of expertise that can, in the words of David Lowes Watson, announce the good news that "God does not foreclose on the creation." By employing the voices of the natural order and theology, we should join forces to show that the gospel is good news for this creation even as it is good news for all people.

©1994

Notes

1 This reason is given by David Mahan as quoted in Christianity Today, vol. 34, no. 7, April 23, 1990, 38. Douglas John Hall defines the current environmental crisis as "the rapid deterioration of our natural environment under the impact of a rampant technological society." "Imaging God: Dominion as Stewardship" (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1986), vii.


3 Eschatology is "the doctrine of last things," or the doctrine of the consummation of the kingdom of God inaugurated in the person and work of Jesus Christ. The word is derived from two Greek words, eschatos ("last" or "last things") and logos (in this instance, "knowledge").
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John F. Walvoord, another significant representative of revised dispensationalism, said that the Scriptures clearly state the destruction of the old heaven and the old Earth (2 Pet. 3:10,12). "In view of the tremendous energy locked into every material atom, the same God who locked in this energy can unlock it and destroy it, reducing it to nothing." "Since the power of God that locked in atomic power can also unlock it, it is possible that the destruction of the physical Earth and heaven will be a gigantic explosion in which all goes back to nothing. Out of this God could create a new heaven and a new Earth as a base for eternity. In any case, the new Earth will be totally different from the old Earth..." John F. Walvoord, Major Bible Prophecies: 37 Crucial Prophecies that Affect You Today (Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1991), 414.
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Eschatology is that branch of theology concerned with last things, such as, death, resurrection, judgment, the messiah, and immortality. Derived from the Greek *eschatos*, the term *eschatology* means "furthest" or "last" and the Oxford English Dictionary defines it as "the science of the four last things: death, judgment, heaven and hell." This is due, of course, to the significant impact that dispensationalist approaches to eschatology have had on Pentecostal reflection and preaching, especially since the establishment of the state of Israel in 1948. Accordingly, the outworking of God's purpose is frequently interpreted with reference to the ever changing and frequently volatile situation that characterizes Middle East politics. "Last Things" redirects here. For the C. P. Snow novel, see Strangers and Brothers. Part of a series on. Eschatology is a part of theology concerned with the final events of history, or the ultimate destiny of humanity. This concept is commonly referred to as the "end of the world" or "end time". The word arises from the Greek *eschatos*, meaning "last" and -logy meaning "the study of", first used in English around 1550.[1] The Oxford English Dictionary defines eschatology as "The part of theology concerned with death, judgment, and the final destiny of the soul and of humankind."[2]. In the context of mysticism, the phrase r